

Local Emergency Operations Plan Review Form

Submit completed form and MNWALK copy to the appropriate HSEM Regional Program Coordinator (RPC)

Use this form to document the findings of a local emergency operations plan (EOP) review

The local emergency management director submits to the appropriate review group chair: the upgraded or updated EOP, a cross-referenced MNWALK, and this form. The group conducts its review and records comments on the MNWALK. The group chair completes the review sheet, attaches the MNWALK and submits them to the HSEM RPC. The HSEM RPC forwards a signed copy to the local emergency management director and follows up on any comments made by the review group.

For more information about the review process, visit the <u>HSEM Website</u> or contact your RPC.

Winona County	Michael Peterson
Jurisdiction	Emergency Management Director
we have reviewed the Emergency Operat adequately address the reviewed planning MNWALK are completed. We have reviewed the Emergency Operat	tions Plan for this jurisdiction and found that it ing requirements, as noted in the attached MNWALK ions Plan for this jurisdiction and found that it will g requirements after the items noted in the attached ions Plan for this jurisdiction and found that it does aming requirements. Further revision is needed to the ded MNWALK
RRC1	11-20-2014
Review Group	Review Date
Review group chair's signature	31-DEC 2014 Date
For H	Date SEM Use Only
For How This plan adequately addresses all state as This plan does not adequately address all	Date

District 1 Regional Review Committee County Emergency Operations Plan Review

Review:

Winona County Emergency Operations Plan (EOP)

Date:

Thursday, November 20, 2014

Purpose

The primary purpose of Region 1 Regional Review Committee (RRC) is to ensure the county emergency operations plan includes Superfund Authorization Re-Authorization (SARA) Title III related information. Regional Review Committees are charged with determining whether county EOPs adequately address the SARA Title III plan content items as described in the Minnesota Local Emergency Operations Plan Crosswalk (MNWALK).

Review Method

District 1 RRC members are responsible for adhering to MNWALK review criteria. RRC members understand the EOP review process can be stressful, and conduct the interview process in a professional manner. Each member is assigned one or more criteria to review, and take turns asking questions while other members listen, compare the EOP section with the MNWALK requirements, and clarify answers with follow-up questions. County representatives are requested to demonstrate the location within the EOP of the specific MNWALK criteria, and explain the intent of the jurisdiction to accomplish the SARA Title III criteria. The committee believes it is possible for a plan to achieve the "letter of the law" and fail to address the "spirit", or intent of the criteria. By viewing the written document, and listening to how the criteria will actually be accomplished, the RRC members are able to determine if the EOP adequately addresses the MNWALK content items. Committee members note if the EOP meets the MNWALK required items. After the interview process is completed, RRC members discuss the results, and together determine if the requirements were met. If acceptable, the section is marked "Yes". Where the committee felt an improvement could be made, a "Recommendation" was added. Recommendations are offered for plan improvement and aid community response readiness, and are not intended to imply a failure to meet the required criteria. If the MNWALK section does not meet requirements, it is marked "No", and a brief explanation is provided.

Results

Specific Observations: Next section lists MNWALK criteria and reviewers comments.

General Observations: RRC member general comments follow MNWALK review.

	a? RRC Reviewer Comments
--	--------------------------

10. Identify a community emergency coordinator (Emergency		
Management Director) who shall make determinations necessary to implement the plan. SARA, Title III Required	res	Recommendation: Note that contact information is 24 hour cell phone numbers.
11. Reference training programs, including schedules for training of local emergency response and medical personnel. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Provide actual Hazmat training levels in the plan and consider placing such information in an appendix.
12. Include methods and schedules for exercising the emergency plan. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Schedule needs to be for the 4-year planning cycle and provide more specific information about the type of drill.
13. Describe procedures providing reliable, effective, and timely notification by the facility emergency coordinators to persons designated in the emergency plan, and to the public, that a release has occurred (consistent with the emergency notification requirements of SARA Title III, Section 304).	Yes	Recommendation: Reference or hyperlink to other parts of the plan that contain supportive information.
14. Describe procedures providing reliable, effective, and timely notification by the community emergency coordinators to persons designated in the emergency plan, and to the public, that a release has occurred (consistent with the emergency notification requirements of SARA Title III, Section 304).	Yes	Met MNWALK requirements.
15. Identify the organization(s) and/or individual(s), primary and backup, (by title) responsible for determining the need to shelter inplace, evacuate, and/or return, and for issuing recommendations. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Reference or hyperlink to other parts of the plan that contain supportive information.
16. Incorporate evacuation plans (procedures), including those for a precautionary evacuation and alternate traffic routes. SARA Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Establish the routes on the GIS mapping. Include a print map in an appendix.
17. Identify facility emergency coordinators who shall make determinations necessary to implement their plan. SARA, Title III	Yes	Met MNWALK requirements.

	(Yes/No)	
RRC Reviewer C	criteria?	MNWALK Planning Requirements
	Meets	

Required		
18. Identify facilities subject to the requirements of SARA Title III, Section 302 that are within the emergency planning district. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Met MNWALK requirements.
19. Identify routes likely to be used for the transportation of substances on the list of extremely hazardous substances referred to in SARA Title III, Section 302(a). SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Establish the routes on the GIS mapping. Breakout out routes for the rural communities. Include a print map in an appendix.
20. Identify additional facilities contributing additional risk due to their proximity to facilities subject to the requirements of SARA Title III, Section 302, such as natural gas facilities. SARA, Title III Required	12/5/114	Required: Name and address of 302 facilities; name and address of nearby non-302 facilities contributing additional risk; primary and secondary contact names at those nearby non-302 facilities, including title and 24-hour telephone number. See provided MNWALK example. Recommendation: Make use of great GIS mapping.
21. Identify additional facilities subject to additional risk due to their proximity to facilities subject to the requirements of SARA Title III, Section 302, such as hospitals. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Include additional facilities such as churches, clinics, fire stations, etc.
22. Describe methods and procedures to be followed by facility owners and operators to respond to any release of such substances. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Met MNWALK requirements.
23. Describe methods and procedures to be followed by local emergency and medical personnel to respond to any release of such substances. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Reference or hyperlink to Command p. 15 for NIMS chart, and Command p. 30 for NIMS use statement.
24. Describe methods for determining the occurrence of a release. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Update the information and expand to include rural communities.
25. Describe methods for determining the area or populations likely to be affected by such a release. SARA, Title III Required	Yes	Recommendation: Reference the use of Cameo or similar software.
26. Describe emergency equipment, facilities, and medical facilities in the community, and identify the individuals responsible for such	Yes	Recommend: Complete all contact information to include contact names.

MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
MNWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
Meets NWALK Planning Requirements (Yes/No)	
NWALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
WALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
/ALK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
NLK Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
Meets K Planning Requirements (Yes/No)	
Planning Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
Planning Requirements Criteria? (Yes/No)	
meets criteria? (Yes/No)	
nning Requirements CYes/No)	
ning Requirements Criteria? (Yes/No)	
ng Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
g Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
Requirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
equirements criteria? (Yes/No)	
uirements Meets criteria? (Yes/No)	
irements Meets criteria? (Yes/No)	
rements criteria? (Yes/No)	
ments Meets criteria? (Yes/No)	
nents Criteria? (Yes/No)	
Meets criteria? (Yes/No)	ä
Meets criteria? (Yes/No)	
Meets riteria? Yes/No)	
fleets teria? es/No)	ă
ets eria? /No)	ä
ia?	
0)	8
PARTIES NO. 1	
BANKS STORY	8
SUBSTRUCTS:	
400000000000000000000000000000000000000	
- Garage	
THE CANADA	
THE REAL PROPERTY.	
THE REAL PROPERTY.	
7	
RRC Reviewer Comment	
0	
Z	
O	
<	
e	
5	
0	
0	
0	
2	
3	
O	
2	
2	
- 10 March 1	
AND REAL PROPERTY.	

equipment and facilities. SARA, Title III Required		
27. Describe emergency equipment and facilities at each facility in the	Yes	Recommendation: Update the information. Reference
community subject to the requirements of SARA Title III, Section 302,		the use of GIS and E-Plan.
and identify the persons responsible for such equipment and facilities.		
SARA, Title III Required		
のできたとは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これでは、これで	-	The same of the sa

General Observations:

Review setting

and the Winona City Assistant Fire Chief were present. County Law Enforcement Center in Red Wing, MN. Six members of the RRC 1 committee, the Winona County Emergency Management Director, On Thursday, November 20, 2014, the Region 1 RRC assembled to review the Winona County EOP. The location of the review was the Goodhue

Things done well

- Pleasant to work with
- Great GIS mapping program
- Preparation with EOPs on laptops for everyone

Items for Consideration

- Continue great working relationship with GIS
- Better cross-referencing to other plan sections or documents